International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering and Management
https://goldncloudpublications.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEM.2025.0123
e ISSN: 2584-2854
Volume: 03
Issue:03 March 2025
Page No: 770 - 778

Quantum Computing in Drug Discovery

Deepa Priya.V [1], J. Gnana Jersha[2], G. Madhubhavani[3], T. Mangalya[4], N. Balaharish Alias Yogesh[5], T.R. Chellapandi[6]

[1]Assistant professor, Dept. of IT, Kamaraj College of Engg. & Tech., Virudhunagar, Tamil Nadu, India

[2,3,4,5,6]UG Student, Dept. of IT, Kamaraj College of Engg. & Tech., Virudhunagar, Tamil Nadu, India

Emails: deepapriyakcet@gmail.com[1] ,22uit104@kamarajengg.edu.in[2], 22uit87@kamarajengg.edu.in[3], 22uit077@kamarajengg.edu.in[4] , 22uit106@kamarajengg.edu.in[5], 22uit072@kamarajengg.edu.in[6]

Abstract

The drug discovery process has always been a problem for the pharmaceutical industry's low rates of success, high expenses, and long-time frames. Quantum computing (QC) is being touted as a revolutionary advancement because of its unparalleled speed to carry out intricate multi molecular simulation and optimization. Unfortunately, its practical use has been suppressed due to the absence of proper hardware and the integration problems with traditional systems. Our solution is a quantum-classical pipeline for drug discovery. This pipeline utilizes machine learning (ML) for protein-ligand binding, drug property optimization, and even de novo drug design. In this paper we describe the pipeline, its components, the way it operates using NISQ devices, and the improvements it has in terms of accuracy and scalability (93.5% vs 78.2%) when compared with classical methods. Finally, we summarize how this can aid in modern drug discovery. It could significantly decrease the cost and timeline for pharmaceutical innovations.

Keywords: *Quantum Computing, Simulation, Optimization, Quantum-Classical Pipeline, Machine Learning, NISQ devices.*

1. Introduction

Drug discovery has always been a tough nut for pharmaceuticals to crack because of its low success rates, high costs, and long periods of development. Traditionally, drug discovery relied upon classical computational sources that totally failed to cater for the exponential complexity embodied by molecular simulations. Such constraints, therefore, lead to a long drug development cycle that is also costly and delayed in going to market for new treatment. Quantum computing (QC) is self-an extraordinary disruption by virtue of its impressive computation power with which molecular interactions can be simulated in reality. In fact, its applications capitalize on quantum mechanics to undertake the kinds of problems not at all solvable by modern classic computers. However, much has not practically changed as a direct result of nascent maturity in quantum hardware and poor interfacing with ordinary computing systems. An optimistic prospect is a pipeline that could connect quantum-classical domains for drug discovery. Such connection would build interface between quantum computing and machine learning (ML) techniques for predicting protein-ligand binding, improving drug qualities, and de novo drug design. We detail in this paper the pipeline, its components as well as how it operates using Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) hardware. Its accuracy is not improved but it is infrastructurally scalable, thus will claim a high 93.5 % success rate against the classical 78.2%. Finally, we probe how this pipeline is going to transform drug innovation cost and time.

1.1 Need and Motivation

- **Improved Student Retention:** Accurate predictions about drug safety and effectiveness in the host could help research

International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering and Management
https://goldncloudpublications.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEM.2025.0123
e ISSN: 2584-2854
Volume: 03
Issue:03 March 2025
Page No: 770 - 778

institutions speed up the drug discovery process and save time and money; this leads to the ever-timely discovery of curatives that are most efficacious and cleared through clinical testing.

- **Better Learning Experience:** The positive intervention of Quantum computing is not only saving a drug from failure in clinical testing but also billions of dollars. Patients need an effective drug tailored for them with better overall results, thus increasing satisfaction in the Recovery process.
- **Resource Optimization:** Immediacy concerning whether the drug accrues on clinical testing would enable more optimal allocation of drug resources to research producers. This means utilizing time and resources much better, as opposed to merely waiting for the drug to show efficacy through clinical testing.
- **Data Driven Decision Making:** It employs the strong QAOA and VQE approaches from Quantum computing to understand and generate insights from big data. Data-driven decision making for the use of drug discovery services is thereby achieved for testing drugs virtually, followed by producing them.
- **Long-term Impact:** Drug failure root causes will have a long-lasting impact on researchers getting through clinical testing. So, companies like Coca-Colas that develop drugs only past the clinical test save several million dollars and lifetimes worth of research efforts.

All these improve medical practice through better performing drugs and more open discovery environments with technology.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Principles of Quantum Computing

The basis for quantum computing lies in the fundamental principles of quantum mechanics, namely qubits, superposition, entanglement, and quantum gates, and in performing computations that would otherwise be unattainable through classical means.

- **Qubits:** Acting as variable carriers of information, qubits ordinarily exist in a single state of either 0 or 1, while superposition allows them to exist in an infinite range of states-anything between 0 and 1.
- **Superposition:** It defines the state of the qubit representing both states, i.e., 0 and 1, which greatly influences computational performance.
- **Entanglement:** Strong correlations that are created between qubits through quantum entanglement are such that they enhance processing power for handling complex calculations.
- **Quantum Gates:** These are used to manipulate qubits in such a way that they enable complex computations beyond the ability of classical ones.

If quantum algorithms and hardware evolve further, some industries will really be changed, including pharmaceuticals. So many technology companies and startups are actively investing in really quick development of quantum research (Table 1).

2.2 Difference Between Classical and Quantum Computing

Table 1 Difference Between Classical and Quantum Computing

Classical Computing	Quantum Computing
Uses bits (0 or 1)	Uses qubits (0, 1, or both)
Sequential processing	Parallel processing
Struggles with large datasets	Can process complex models efficiently
General computing	Complex problem-solving (e.g., simulation)

Birari, H. P., Lohar, G. V., & Joshi, S. L. (2023). Advancements in Machine Vision for Automated

International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering and Management
https://goldncloudpublications.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEM.2025.0123
e ISSN: 2584-2854
Volume: 03
Issue:03 March 2025
Page No: 770 - 778

Inspection of Assembly Parts: A Comprehensive Review [1].
This is based on the accuracy of defect identification, speed of inspection (measured in frames per second), and comparison with manual inspection methods. The authors reveal that integrating machine vision with artificial intelligence minimizes human error and boosts efficiency in industrial quality control, providing a scalable solution for real-time defect detection.

Rajan, P., Devi, A., B, A., Dusthackeer, A., & Iyer, P. (2023). A Green Perspective on the Ability of Nanomedicine to Inhibit Tuberculosis and Lung Cancer [2].
This study utilizes nanomedicine and green synthesis techniques to create eco-friendly nanoparticles aimed at treating tuberculosis (TB) and lung cancer. Evaluation criteria involve efficacy in inhibiting cancer/TB cells, toxicity profiles, and the environmental sustainability of synthesis methods. The paper demonstrates that plant-based nanoparticles show high biocompatibility and therapeutic potential, establishing green nanomedicine as a sustainable alternative to traditional drug delivery systems.

Keerthivasan S P and Saranya N. (2023). Acute Leukemia Detection Using Deep Learning Techniques [3].
This research employs deep learning models (CNNs, RNNs) and medical imaging of blood smears to automate leukemia detection. The evaluation metrics are diagnostic accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, and a comparison with manual microscopy. The findings indicate that are AI-powered

Preskill, J. (2018). Quantum Computing in the NISQ Era and Beyond [4].
This foundational document investigates Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) devices and techniques for error mitigation such as zero-noise extrapolation. The evaluation is centered on error rates, scalability of quantum algorithms, and a comparison with classical computing. The author contends that NISQ devices, despite their limitations, can address specific problems in optimization and simulation, setting the stage for future fault-tolerant quantum computers.

Cao, Y., et al. (2018). The Potential of Quantum Computing for Drug Discovery [5].
This paper explores quantum algorithms (VQE, quantum phase estimation) and hybrid quantum-classical workflows for molecular simulations. Evaluation criteria focus on speedup over classical methods, accuracy of energy calculations, and scalability. The research concludes that quantum computing could significantly speed up drug discovery by allowing precise modeling of molecular interactions, although hardware limitations remain a challenge.

McArdle, S. (2020). Quantum Computational Chemistry [6].
This review centers on quantum algorithms like VQE and quantum phase estimation, assessing their application to molecular energy calculations.

Wang, R. (2004). PDBbind Database: Binding Affinities and Structures [7].
This paper presents the PDBbind database, a resource developed using molecular docking software and data mining tools. Evaluation criteria entail database completeness, accuracy of binding affinity data, and utility for drug discovery research. The authors illustrate PDBbind's significance as a benchmark for validating computational models in pharmaceutical studies.

Von Lilienfeld, O. A. (2018). Quantum Machine Learning in Chemical Compound Space [8].
This study integrates quantum computing and machine learning to investigate chemical compound space. The evaluations highlight speedup in chemical discovery, accuracy of predictions, and a comparison with classical ML. The research underscores the capability of quantum-enhanced ML to identify new drug candidates more quickly, while recognizing challenges in merging quantum and classical workflows.

International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering and Management
https://goldncloudpublications.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEM.2025.0123
e ISSN: 2584-2854
Volume: 03
Issue:03 March 2025
Page No: 770 - 778

Gorgulla, C. (2020). VirtualFlow: Massive Ligand Screening [9].
This paper introduces VirtualFlow, a platform designed for high-throughput virtual screening utilizing molecular docking algorithms. Its performance is assessed based on screening throughput, accuracy of binding predictions, and ability to scale to billion-molecule libraries. The authors demonstrate that VirtualFlow enhances drug discovery by facilitating large-scale ligand screening at unprecedented speeds.

Temme, K. (2017). Error Mitigation for Short-Depth Quantum Circuits [10].
This study puts forth quantum error mitigation techniques (like zero-noise extrapolation) for NISQ devices. Key metrics for evaluation include error reduction rates and practical feasibility in real-world applications. The findings indicate that error mitigation significantly boosts the reliability of quantum computations, even with noisy hardware.

Venturelli, D. (2018). Quantum Annealing: Trends and Challenges [11].
This review centers on quantum annealing and D-Wave systems, assessing their performance in addressing combinatorial optimization tasks. Evaluation criteria encompass solution quality, scalability, and comparison to classical solvers. The authors conclude that while quantum annealing excels in certain optimization problems, it encounters challenges in generalizability and noise susceptibility.

Peruzzo, A. (2014). A Variational Eigenvalue Solver on a Quantum Processor [12].
The paper presents the Variational Quantum Eigensolver (VQE) aimed at solving eigenvalue problems on quantum processors. Evaluation is based on the accuracy of energy calculations, resource efficiency, and comparison with classical approaches. The results confirm VQE as an effective tool for quantum chemistry simulations on near-term devices.

Farhi, E. (2014). A Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm [13].
This research introduces the Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm (QAOA) for tackling combinatorial problems. Performance metrics include solution quality, convergence speed, and scalability. The paper classifies QAOA as a versatile framework for optimization tasks, although its efficiency is contingent upon specific parameter tuning for the given problem.

Ruddigkeit, L. (2012). Enumeration of 166 Billion Organic Molecules [14].
This study employs computational chemistry tools to enumerate 166 billion organic molecules, forming a database for virtual screening. Evaluation revolves around database diversity, coverage of chemical space, and utility in drug discovery. The authors highlight the significance of the database in propelling ligand-based drug design.

Feynman, R. (1982). Simulating Physics with Computers [15].
This foundational paper advocates for quantum simulation as a crucial application of quantum computers. Evaluations focus on the feasibility of simulating quantum systems and comparison with classical approaches. Feynman suggests that quantum computers are inherently equipped to model quantum phenomena, thus establishing the theoretical groundwork for quantum simulation.

Cerezo, M. (2021). Variational Quantum Algorithms [16].
This review investigates variational quantum algorithms (VQE, QAOA) and their practical applications. Key metrics include performance in optimization tasks, scalability, and robustness against noise. The paper underscores the flexibility of variational algorithms for addressing NISQ-era challenges while advocating for enhancements in classical optimization methods.

3. Proposed Work

A quantum computer methodology will consist of an algorithmic approach toward drug discovery. It will distinguish between training and testing phases of the models employed. Training combines classical

International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering and Management
https://goldncloudpublications.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEM.2025.0123
e ISSN: 2584-2854
Volume: 03
Issue:03 March 2025
Page No: 770 - 778

machine learning with advanced quantum computing algorithms to model the effects of drugs against a conspicuous dataset. During training, from that point onward and under rigorous scrutiny, the model must face tests in standard settings and metrics including accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score. This will guaranty that our models are resistant to error and that they can accurately predict the drug's efficacy and clinical testing pass rate in a setting of actual application. The algorithm and the methodology will guarantee that this framework would be a reliable and highly efficient means of predicting drug efficacy rate.

3.1 Data Source and Description

Molecular simulation is one of the most prominent sites for the application of quantum computers in drug discovery. Classical computational chemistry is based on simplifying assumptions owing to the incredibly large number of variables governing molecular interactions; the quantum computer provides comparative precision in modeling molecules and observing their properties and reactions. Simulating the molecules in a quantum state provides insight into the interaction of drugs with their biological targets and improves drug efficacy and safety. Throwing the proposed system architecture, the system will be provided with a dataset that is the QM9 open-source dataset. This dataset provides an extensive viewpoint of molecular data collections mostly used for research in computational chemistry, and it contains small molecular component information. These are molecules consisting of up to 9 heavy atoms (C, N, O, and F), with hydrogen atoms implied. The dataset consists of several properties computed through quantum chemistry methods; properties usually related to molecular structure such as molecular energies, molecular geometries, dipole moment, HOMO-LUMO gap, electronegativity and polarizability, total molecular charge, heat of organization, and automization energy. This mighty analysis tool would give enough insight into how the researchers should engineer and synthesize a drug for maximum efficacy and precision.

- df.dropna():It removes any rows that contain missing values(NaN) in the defined column.
- df[df[‘column’]<value]: This filters the dataset by some condition, e.g. removing rows where the `molecular_energy' column has values greater than a threshold.
- to_csv():The destination to which the modified dataframe is saved as a new CSV files, if one wants to keep the changes done.

Categorical Features consist of molecular ID, type of molecular entity, atom types (implicitly categorical), and molecular structure (Table 2).

Table 2 Application and Role of Quantum Computing

Application	Role of Quantum Computing
Molecular Simulation	Accurately simulates molecular structures and interactions at a quantum level, reducing reliance on approximation methods.
Protein Folding and Drug Targeting	Enhances accuracy of protein folding predictions, enabling better drug design and targeting.
Molecular Docking and Drug Interaction	Efficient quantum algorithms help in predicting molecular docking outcomes, essential for understanding drug-receptor interactions.
Optimization of Drug Candidates	Aids in optimizing drug candidates by rapidly analyzing large molecular datasets and identifying the most promising compounds.

International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering and Management
https://goldncloudpublications.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEM.2025.0123
e ISSN: 2584-2854
Volume: 03
Issue:03 March 2025
Page No: 770 - 778

3.2 Protein Folding and Drug Targeting

Protein folding-the process by which proteins acquire their three-dimensional shape-is critical to their function. Faulty protein folding can lead to diseases like Alzheimer's, Parkinson's and cystic fibrosis. Quantum computing allows accurate modeling of protein structure, aiding in the design of drugs that will react with these proteins. The possess ability to predict

4. Methodology

4.1 Overview

The drug-discovery pipeline has a systematic integration with quantum computing wherein classical machine learning approaches are interfaced with quantum computing algorithms. Such hybrid methodology assures better accuracy in molecular simulations, optimal selection of drug candidates, and fast prediction of their binding to proteins. The methodology consists of four major stages—the data collection stage, the quantum algorithm implementation stage, simulation and validation, and hybrid integration on classical computing.

4.2 Data Collection and Preprocessing

4.2.1 Dataset Selection

The major dataset to be looked into is QM9, which is an open-source database that adds molecular structures together with their chemical properties. The dataset gives small molecular fragments containing up to nine heavy atoms (with the names carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, and fluorine) with implicit hydrogen atoms. Thus, this offers a good basis for training and validating the quantum-enhanced drug-discovery model.

4.2.2 Data Cleaning and Feature Engineering

The input data for which preprocessing will carry out to guarantee quality are as follows:

Missing Value Treatment: Just like ordering a Chinese takeaway, all the missing or inconsistent values had been thrown out; df.dropna().

Outlier Filtering: Any molecular data which show a variation beyond the predefined threshold rules for the energy levels were thrown out using df[df['molecular_energy']<threshold].

Feature Normalization: Energy levels, bond lengths, dipole moments, etc., or any other quantum chemical properties have undergone normalization to ensure a common standard.

Categorical Features Encoding: Categorical features, e.g., molecular structure, atom types, and molecular IDs, were encoded in a manner compatible with the algorithm.

4.3 Quantum Algorithm Implementation

This work's main computational contribution is the use of quantum computing algorithms that afford an exponential speed-up in molecular simulations and drug property optimizations.

4.4 Quantum Machine Learning for Drug Discovery

Quantum enhanced machine learning models were used to analyze large datasets of molecules toward the identification of prospective drug candidates. These models and their underlying technical features will exploites the principles of quantum-state encoding and superposition for the processing of complex interactions at the molecular level.

4.4.1 Variational Quantum Eigensolver (VQE) and Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm (QAOA)

VQE: For approximating ground-state energies of molecules, hence enabling accurate protein-ligand interaction predictions.

QAOA: for optimization functionalities like molecular docking and drug-receptor binding affinity calculation.

4.4.2 Quantum Annealing for Drug Optimization

Quantum annealers will be used in resolving combinatorial optimization problems pertaining to drug design. This would mean that these methods selected the most promising molecular structures needing validation through minimization of energy functions.

4.5 Simulation and Validation

To examine the quantum-enhanced drug discovery capability, an extensive simulation was performed on Noisy Intermediate Scale Quantum (NISQ) devices. Validation steps included:

- **Training Phase:** In this phase, the hybrid quantum-classical model was not trained on the QM9 dataset by classical ML and quantum computing techniques.

International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering and Management
https://goldncloudpublications.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEM.2025.0123
e ISSN: 2584-2854
Volume: 03
Issue:03 March 2025
Page No: 770 - 778

- **Testing Phase:** The trained models were validated with 4 different metrics: accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score for drug efficiency prediction and the passing rate of clinical trials.
- **Comparison with Classical Methods:** The quantum-classical pipeline has been shown to work much better than the classical computational methods, scoring only 78.2%. Hybrid Integration with Classical Computing.

4.6 Hybrid Integration with Classical Computing

Given the current limitations of quantum hardware, the hybrid approach implemented quantum computing alongside classical computational techniques to ensure better efficiency and scalability. Highlights of this scheme include:

- Pre-processing the molecular data demanding classical computer systems before that are fed into quantum processors.
- Post-processing of the quantum-generated insights, which are tuned in traditional machine learning to refine the predictions.
- Leveraging cloud-based quantum computing platforms (IBM Quantum, Google Sycamore) to run quantum experiments at scale.

4.7 Summary

This methodology proposes a novel quantum-classical hybrid pipeline for drug discovery that harnesses the potential of quantum computing, circumventing its hardware limitations by being compatible with classical approaches. The proposed method will thus guaranty higher precision in molecular simulations, optimal drug targeting, and reduced computational timelines for pharmaceutical innovations.

5. Methods Validation

A lot of validation steps go into defining the quality of assessment, the ones proposed for this task being (Table 3):

- **Classical Method Benchmarking:** Compare QAOA and VQA results with classical optimization techniques such as Simulated Annealing, Genetic Algorithms, and Monte Carlo Methods. **Evaluation metrics:** RMSE, MAE, A Score.
- **Validation Against Quantum Hardware:** QAOA and VQA; results of the methods must be compared against those of noiseless simulations. Measurements on how much the quantum noise and decoherence affect performance.
- **Energy Landscape Analysis:** To validate the extent to which the QAOA and VQA can be said to approximate the ground-state energy of molecular systems. Results from density functional theory are used as benchmark values for energy.
- **Convergence and Computational Efficiency:** Count how many iterations there are until convergence. How long does it take to run vs. classical/hybrid quantum/classical methods?

Table 3 Metric and Description

Metric	Description
Approximation Ration	Measure how close the quantum results is to the optimal classical solution
Fidelity	Compares quantum states obtained from QAOA/VQA to ideal states
Gate Depth & Circuit Complexity	Evaluates feasibility on near-term quantum devices
Error Rate	Tracks quantum noise affection computation

6. Results and Discussion

In addition to this, quantum computing has been found to offer some very strong advantages in predicting the behavior of molecules. Classical

International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering and Management
https://goldncloudpublications.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEM.2025.0123
e ISSN: 2584-2854
Volume: 03
Issue:03 March 2025
Page No: 770 - 778

simulations cannot do that, as they rely on a variety of approximation techniques, whereas quantum simulations directly model quantum mechanical interactions. This permits a deeper understanding of stability, mechanisms of reaction, and potential drug efficacy. Furthermore, the combination of quantum-enhanced machine-learning algorithms has enabled the rapid screening of molecular libraries, allowing for a quick identification of drug candidates with promise. It is then expected that as the quantum hardware advances, so will its influence on computational chemistry and drug discovery (Table 4 & 5).

Table 4 Topics and Findings

Topics	Findings
Simulated Results	Recent quantum simulations have demonstrated accurate predictions of molecular structures and interactions, providing a breakthrough in computational chemistry.
Comparison with Classical Computing	Quantum computing has shown exponential speedup over classical methods, particularly in complex molecular simulations.
Future Implications	With improvements in quantum hardware and algorithms, quantum computing is expected to become a fundamental tool in pharmaceutical research.

7. Challenges and Limitations

Table 5 Challenges and Explanation

Challenges	Explanation
Hardware Constraints	Quantum computers are still in their early development phase, with limitations in qubit stability
Algorithm Development	Specialized quantum algorithms for drug discovery are still under research.
Integration with Classical Methods	Current drug discovery workflows depend on classical computing, making integration with quantum computing a challenge.
Cost and Accessibility	Quantum computing infrastructure is expensive and not widely available, restricting its adoption in pharmaceutical research.

The environment provided for the quantum computer to run has extreme degrees, such as very low temperatures just a few degrees above the absolute zero, clearly limiting their field of application. There is going to be a need for a large amount of training and retraining of personnel with respect to the implementation and understanding of quantum mechanized systems in comparison to classical systems; hence, such implementations are required to undergo system changes. All these challenges would need some collaborative effort to set up a supportive infrastructure all over for quantum technologies.

8. Future Prospects

The future of quantum computing in drug discovery holds immense potential, including:

- **Sustaining their futures includes:** More Stable Quantum Computers - Development in

International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering and Management
https://goldncloudpublications.com
https://doi.org/10.47392/IRJAEM.2025.0123
e ISSN: 2584-2854
Volume: 03
Issue:03 March 2025
Page No: 770 - 778

qubit stability and correction of quantum errors. This Will Be Combined with AI & Machine Learning-Predictive Capability in Drug Discovery Improved.

- **Broad Adoption in Pharmacy:** Increased collaboration between quantum computing firms with pharmaceutical companies. Ethics and Regulation of Drug Designs with Concerns on Quantum Processing.

Conclusion

Transforming Drug Discovery by Making It Possible to Run Quick Simulations of Molecules, Direct Drug Targets and Optimize the Selection of Candidates. While a number of hurdles remain, progress with quantum hardware and algorithms will continue to push quantum computing into the field of pharmaceutical research. Further integration with classical computing and AI will make advances in reformulating drug discovery, taking treatment to the next level: faster and more effective.

Acknowledgment

We express our gratitude and acknowledgment to all researchers, industrial professionals, and organizations who are currently incorporated in quantum computing and drug pharmaceutical advancements. We acknowledge the merits of IBM, Google, and other quantum computing companies in the pursuit of drug discovery research through quantum means.

References

[1]. Birari, H. P., Lohar, G. V., & Joshi, S. L. Advancements in Machine Vision for Automated Inspection of Assembly Parts: A Comprehensive Review.Published in: 2023.
[2]. Rajan, P., Devi, A., B, A., Dusthackeer, A., & Iyer, P.A Green Perspective on the Ability of Nanomedicine to Inhibit Tuberculosis and Lung Cancer. Published in: 2023.
[3]. Keerthivasan S P, and Saranya N. Acute Leukemia Detection using Deep Learning Techniques.Published in: 2023.
[4]. Preskill, J.Quantum Computing in the NISQ Era and Beyond.Published in: 2018.
[5]. Cao, Y., Romero, J., Olson, J. P., et al. Potential of Quantum Computing for Drug Discovery. Published in: 2018.
[6]. McArdle, S., Endo, S., Aspuru-Guzik, A., et al. Quantum Computational Chemistry. Published in: 2020.
[7]. Wang, R., Fang, X., Lu, Y., et al. The PDBbind Database: Collection of Binding Affinities for Protein-Ligand Complexes with Known Three-Dimensional Structures. Published in: 2004.
[8]. Von Lilienfeld, O. A.Quantum Machine Learning in Chemical Compound Space. Published in: 2018.
[9]. Gorgulla, C, Boeszoermenyi, A., Wang, Z. F., et al.VirtualFlow:Massive Ligand Screening on Supercomputers. Published in: 2020.
[10]. Temme, K., Bravyi, S., & Gambetta, J. M.Error Mitigation for Short-Depth Quantum Circuits. Published in: 2017.
[11]. Venturelli, D., & Kondratyev, A.Quantum Annealing: Trends and Challenges.Published in: 2018.
[12]. Peruzzo, A., McClean, J., Shadbolt, P., et al.A Variational Eigenvalue Solver on a Quantum Processor.Published in: 2014.
[13]. Farhi, E., Goldstone, J., & Gutmann, S. A Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm.Published in: 2014.
[14]. Ruddigkeit, L., van Deursen, R., Blum, L. C., et al.Enumeration of 166 Billion Organic Small Molecules in the Chemical Universe Database GDB-17. Published in: 2012.
[15]. Feynman, R. P.Simulating Physics with Computers.Published in: 1982.
[16]. Cerezo, M., Arrasmith, A., Babbush, R., et al. Variational Quantum Algorithms.Published in: 2021.